

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for examining the application, and for agreeing with Applicants' arguments in the previous amendment and response. Applicants have amended claims 1-17 as discussed further below. Support for the amendment of claims may be found throughout the specification, and the amendment of claims 1-17 does not constitute the addition of new matter. With the amendment, claims 1-17 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2

The Examiner rejected claims 1-6, 8-10, and 14-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

Applicants have amended claims 1-6, 8-10, and 14-17 to overcome the rejection. Specifically, in response to the Examiner's questions in the Office Action, for each claim, Applicants' amendment(s) to that claim sufficiently answer the question.

By way of example, with regards to claim 1, Applicants have explicitly defined who receives the request for a web page, who provides the web page, and to whom the web page is provided. As Applicants' Attorney has previously discussed with the Examiner, two servers are present, a first server and another server, which Applicants' amendments to claim 1 make clear.

Similarly, Applicants' amendments to claims 2-6, 8-10, and 14-17 also make explicit what components are taking the actions recited by those claims, and what components receive the outcomes of those actions. Applicants believe that all the Examiner's questions have been answered by Applicants' amendments, and thus Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection is overcome.

Applicants' Attorney invites the Examiner to contact him should these amendments not suffice to clarify the claims.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Examiner rejected claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed inventions are directed to non-statutory subject matter. More specifically, the Examiner stated that claims 1-17 failed to produce any useful, tangible, and concrete results.

Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17 to require the client displaying the web page on a client display device. As the Examiner knows, a web page displayed on a client display device is a useful, tangible, and concrete result, and therefore, Applicants' amended independent claims 1, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17 are not directed to non-statutory subject matter, but rather are directed to statutory subject matter as defined by § 101. Thus, Applicants' amended independent claims 1, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17 are allowable as patented claims under § 101.

Applicants' dependent claims 2-7 depend from, respectively, Applicants' allowable amended independent claim 1. Therefore, Applicants' dependent claims 2-7 are themselves directed to statutory subject matter as defined by § 101, and thus Applicants' dependent claims 2-7 are allowable as patented claims under § 101.

Applicants have amended independent claims 8, 11, and 15 to require displaying the web page on a client display device. As the Examiner knows, a web page displayed on a client display device is a useful, tangible, and concrete result, and therefore, Applicants' amended independent claims 8, 11, and 15 are not directed to non-statutory subject matter, but rather are directed to statutory subject matter as defined by § 101. Thus, Applicants' amended independent claims 8, 11, and 15 are allowable as patented claims under § 101.

CONCLUSION


Applicants believe this Amendment and Response to be fully responsive to the present Office Action. Thus, based on the foregoing Remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants request allowance of the application.

Applicants hereby petition for any extension of time required to maintain the pendency of this case. If there is any fee occasioned by this response that is not paid, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-3735.

Should the enclosed papers or fees be considered incomplete, Applicants respectfully request that the Patent Office contact the undersigned collect at the telephone number provided below.

Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the Applicants' undersigned Attorney if any issues are deemed to remain prior to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Shaun P. Montana', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Shaun P. Montana, Esq.
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No.: 54,320
Chapin Intellectual Property Law, LLC
Westborough Office Park
1700 West Park Drive
Westborough, Massachusetts 01581
Telephone: (508) 616-9660
Facsimile: (508) 616-9661

Attorney Docket No.: OID06-40(11401)

Dated: May 7, 2007